arXiv:math/0606627v1 [math.QA] 24 Jun 2006

Irreducible Modules for the Quantum Affine Algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its Borel Subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$

John Bowman*

June 23, 2006

Abstract

We prove a bijection between finite-dimensional irreducible modules for an arbitrary quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and finite-dimensional irreducible modules for its Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$.

1 Introduction

Quantum affine algebras have played a significant role in diverse areas of mathematics and physics. These algebras can be associated with vertex operator algebras and feature prominently in conformal field theory ([5], [7]). They also have important connections with symmetric functions, in particular with Kostka-Foulkes polynomials ([2], [6], [13]). In this paper, we focus on finite-dimensional irreducible modules of an arbitrary quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. These modules were classified by Chari and Pressley in terms of Drinfeld polynomials in [4]. We relate finite-dimensional irreducible modules for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to finite-dimensional irreducible modules for its Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$, where the Borel subalgebra is the nonnegative part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the standard triangular decomposition.

Benkart and Terwilliger showed in [1] that for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, there is a bijection between finite-dimensional irreducible modules for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and finite-dimensional irreducible modules for the Borel subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$. In particular:

---

*Supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant #DMS-0353038.

(i) Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$-module of type $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. Then the action of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$ extends uniquely to an action of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ on V. The resulting $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-module structure on V is irreducible and of type $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$.

(ii) Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-module of type $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. When the $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-action is restricted to $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$, the resulting $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V is irreducible and of type $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$.

Our main result, contained in Theorem 8.1, extends the result of [1] to an arbitrary quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. This situation contrasts with the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. In that case, one can show that every finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-module is one-dimensional.

Our proof contains two key ingredients: the equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and the notion of a split decomposition. The equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, introduced by Terwilliger in [15], has the attractive feature that all of its generators act semisimply on finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules. A split decomposition of a module V is, roughly speaking, a decomposition constructed from two eigenspace decompositions of V by intersecting sums of eigenspaces. For other examples of split decompositions in the literature, we refer the reader to [1], [9], and [14].

2 The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

Throughout the paper, we let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and we let $\mathbb{F}^\times$ denote the set of nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}$. We fix $q \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ such that q is not a root of unity. We let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the ring of integers, and we set $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} = \{n/2 | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let n denote a positive integer and let A denote a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix of order n and affine type [12, p. 1]. Since A is symmetrizable there exist relatively prime positive integers $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ such that $s_i A_{ij} = s_j A_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Since A is of affine type, by [12, Thm. 4.3] there exists a column vector $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T$ such that $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ are relatively prime positive integers and $Au = 0$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we do the following. We define $q_i = q^{s_i}$. Also, for an integer m we define

$$[m]_i = \frac{q_i^m - q_i^{-m}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$$

and for $m \geq 0$ we define

$$[m]_i^! = [m]_i [m-1]_i \cdots [2]_i [1]_i.$$

We interpret $[0]^! = 1$. For integers $m \geq r \geq 0$ we define

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[m]_i^!}{[r]_i^! [m-r]_i^!}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'(A)$ denote the Kac-Moody algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ that corresponds to A [12, p. xi].

Definition 2.2. [3, p. 280] With reference to Definition 1.1, $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators E_i, F_i, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ which satisfy the following relations:

(R1) $K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1$,

(R2) $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$,

(R3) $K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{A_{ij}} E_j$,

(R4) $K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{-A_{ij}} F_j$,

(R5) $E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \dfrac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$,

(R6) $\displaystyle\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} E_j E_i^r = 0 \qquad \text{if } i \neq j$,

(R7) $\displaystyle\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i F_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} F_j F_i^r = 0 \qquad \text{if } i \neq j$.

The expression δ_{ij} in (R5) is the Kronecker delta.

We denote by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements $E_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. We call $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$ the *Borel subalgebra* of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ because of its similarity to the standard Borel subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra of a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over the complex numbers. We want to describe the relationship between finite-dimensional irreducible modules for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and finite-dimensional irreducible modules for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$. To this end, we consider finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the following algebra.

Definition 2.3. The algebra $U^{\geq 0}$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators e_i, $k_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ which satisfy the following relations:

(r1) $k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1$,

(r2) $k_i k_j = k_j k_i$,

(r3) $k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q_i^{A_{ij}} e_j$,

(r4) $\displaystyle\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} e_j e_i^r = 0 \qquad \text{if } i \neq j.$

We let U^0 be the subalgebra of $U^{\geq 0}$ generated by k_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. We let $U^{>0}$ be the subalgebra of $U^{\geq 0}$ generated by e_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Note that by (r3) we have $U^{\geq 0} = U^0 U^{>0} = U^{>0} U^0$.

Our first goal is to explain the exact relationship between finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-modules. In order to state our results precisely, it is necessary to make a few comments.

Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the actions of K_i on V are semisimple [10, Prop. 5.1]. Furthermore (by [10, Thm. 2.6]), there exist scalars $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ such that each eigenvalue of K_i on V is of the form $\varepsilon_i q_i^m$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$. We call the sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^n$ the *type* of V.

Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module. As we will see in Section 4, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the action of k_i on V is semisimple. Moreover, there exist scalars $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ such that the set of distinct eigenvalues of k_i on V is $\alpha_i \Delta_i$ where $\Delta_i \subseteq \{q_i^m | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\Delta_i = \{\theta^{-1} | \theta \in \Delta_i\}$. We refer to the sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^n$ as the *type* of V.

Our main results concerning $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U^{\geq 0}$ are contained in the following two theorems and the subsequent remark.

Theorem 2.4. *Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module of type α. Let $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$. Then there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V such that the operators $E_i - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$ and $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1}$ vanish on V for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure is irreducible and of type ε.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module of type ε. Let $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}^\times)^n$. Then there exists a unique $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V such that the operators $E_i - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$ and $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1}$ vanish on V for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure is irreducible and of type α.*

Remark 2.6. Take $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}^\times)^n$ and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$. Combining Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, we obtain a bijection between the following two sets:

(i) The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-modules of type α;

(ii) The isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules of type ε.

3 Preliminaries

For the discussion that follows, we will need the *equitable presentation* of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ introduced by Terwilliger in [15].

Proposition 3.1. *[15, Thm. 5.1] The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ from Definition 2.2 is isomorphic to the unital associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators $K_i^{\pm 1}, Y_i, Z_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ which satisfy the following relations:*

(E1) $K_iK_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1}K_i = 1,$

(E2) $K_iK_j = K_jK_i,$

(E3) $Y_iK_j - q_i^{-A_{ij}}K_jY_i = K_iK_j(1 - q_i^{-A_{ij}}),$

(E4) $Z_iK_j - q_i^{A_{ij}}K_jZ_i = K_iK_j(1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}),$

(E5) $Z_iY_i - q_i^2Y_iZ_i = 1 - q_i^2,$

(E6) $Z_iY_j - q_i^{A_{ij}}Y_jZ_i = K_iK_j(1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}) \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$

(E7) $$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i Y_i^{1-A_{ij}-r}Y_jY_i^r = K_i^{1-A_{ij}}K_j \prod_{s=0}^{-A_{ij}} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}) \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

(E8) $$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i Z_i^{1-A_{ij}-r}Z_jZ_i^r = K_i^{1-A_{ij}}K_j \prod_{s=0}^{-A_{ij}} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}) \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

The isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 2.2 is

$K_i^{\pm 1} \rightarrow K_i^{\pm 1},$
$Y_i \rightarrow K_i + E_i(q_i - q_i^{-1}),$
$Z_i \rightarrow K_i - K_iF_iq_i(q_i - q_i^{-1}).$

The inverse of this isomorphism is

$E_i \rightarrow (Y_i - K_i)(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1},$
$F_i \rightarrow (1 - K_i^{-1}Z_i)q_i^{-1}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1},$
$K_i^{\pm 1} \rightarrow K_i^{\pm 1}.$

Remark 3.2. The relations in Proposition 3.1 differ slightly from [15, Thm. 5.1]. To get our relations from the relations in [15, Thm. 5.1], simply apply the Chevalley involution of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ which sends $K_i^{\pm 1} \to K_i^{\mp 1}$, $E_i \to F_i$, and $F_i \to E_i$.

For the rest of the paper we identify the two copies of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given in Definition 2.2 and Proposition 3.1 via the isomorphism in Proposition 3.1. We now give the equitable presentation of $U^{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 3.3. *[15, Thm. 5.1] The algebra $U^{\geq 0}$ from Definition 2.3 is isomorphic to the unital associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators $k_i^{\pm 1}, y_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ which satisfy the following relations:*

(e1) $k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1,$

(e2) $k_i k_j = k_j k_i,$

(e3) $y_i k_j - q_i^{-A_{ij}} k_j y_i = k_i k_j (1 - q_i^{-A_{ij}}),$

(e4) $\displaystyle\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i y_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} y_j y_i^r = k_i^{1-A_{ij}} k_j \prod_{s=0}^{-A_{ij}} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}) \qquad \text{if } i \neq j.$

The isomorphism with the presentation for $U^{\geq 0}$ given in Definition 2.3 is

$k_i^{\pm 1} \to k_i^{\pm 1},$

$y_i \to k_i + e_i(q_i - q_i^{-1}).$

The inverse of this isomorphism is

$e_i \to (y_i - k_i)(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1},$

$k_i^{\pm 1} \to k_i^{\pm 1}.$

For the rest of the paper we identify the two copies of $U^{\geq 0}$ given in Definition 2.3 and Proposition 3.3 via the isomorphism in Proposition 3.3. We will make use of the following additional relation in $U^{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 3.4. *[15, line (19)] The following relation holds in $U^{\geq 0}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $i \neq j$:*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i y_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} e_j y_i^r = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. This is proved for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in [15, pp. 309–311]. The proof carries over to $U^{\geq 0}$ in a straightforward fashion. □

4 Eigenspace decompositions

Throughout this section, we fix a finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module V. By a *decomposition of* V we mean a sequence of subspaces of V whose direct sum is V.

Lemma 4.1. *For* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *there exist* $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}^\times$*, a positive* $d_i \in {}^1/_2\mathbb{Z}$*, and a decomposition* $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ *of* V *such that the following hold:*

(i) $(k_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i} I)U_i(s) = 0$ *for* $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$*,*

(ii) $U_i(0) \neq 0$ *and* $U_i(2d_i) \neq 0$.

Moreover, k_i *is semisimple on* V*.*

Proof. For $\theta \in \mathbb{F}$ let $U(\theta) = \{v \in V | k_i v = \theta v\}$. From (r2), (r3) we observe the following for $1 \leq j \leq n$:

$$k_j U(\theta) \subseteq U(\theta), \tag{4.1}$$

$$e_j U(\theta) \subseteq U(\theta q_i^{A_{ij}}). \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed, k_i has an eigenvalue in $\mathbb{F}$. Thus there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $U(\eta) \neq 0$. Since k_i is invertible on V, we have $\eta \neq 0$. The scalars ηq_i^k $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ are mutually distinct since q_i is not a root of unity. Because V is finite-dimensional, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $U(\eta q_i^m) \neq 0$ and $U(\eta q_i^s) = 0$ if $s < m$. Also, there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $U(\eta q_i^M) \neq 0$ and $U(\eta q_i^s) = 0$ if $s > M$. Set $\alpha_i := \eta q_i^{(m+M)/2}$ and $d_i := (M - m)/2$. Set $U_i(s) := U(\alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i})$ for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$. By the construction we have (i) and (ii). Moreover, the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{2d_i} U_i(s)$ is invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$ by (4.1), (4.2). The sum is nonzero since $U_i(0) \neq 0$, so by irreducibility this sum is equal to V. Since the $U_i(s)$ are eigenspaces for k_i corresponding to distinct eigenvalues, the sum is direct. Thus $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V as desired. Clearly k_i is semisimple on V. □

Definition 4.2. For notational convenience, we define $U_i(s) = 0$ if $s < 0$ or $s > 2d_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

Definition 4.3. With reference to Lemma 4.1, the sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the *type* of V. We refer to the sequence $d = (d_i)_{i=1}^n$ as the *shape* of V.

Remark 4.4. In Section 4 we will show $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 4.5. *For* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *let the decomposition* $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ *be as in Lemma 4.1. Then the following hold for* $1 \leq j \leq n$ *and* $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$*:*

(i) $k_j U_i(s) = U_i(s)$,

(ii) $e_j U_i(s) \subseteq U_i(s + A_{ij})$.

Proof. (i) By (4.1), $k_i U_i(s) \subseteq U_i(s)$. The result follows since k_i is invertible on V. (ii) follows immediately from (4.2). ☐

Lemma 4.6. *Let S be a subspace of V that is invariant under $U^{>0}$. Suppose there exist integers i and s such that $0 \neq U_i(s) \subseteq S$. Then $S = V$.*

Proof. By hypothesis we have $U^{>0}U_i(s) \subseteq U^{>0}S \subseteq S$. Thus it suffices to show $U^{>0}U_i(s) = V$. By construction, $U^{>0}U_i(s)$ is nonzero and invariant under $U^{>0}$. Using (r3) and Lemma 4.5(i) we find $U^{>0}U_i(s)$ is invariant under U^0. Thus $U^{>0}U_i(s)$ is invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$, and we have $U^{>0}U_i(s) = V$ since V is an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module. The result follows. ☐

Lemma 4.7. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let the decomposition $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then the following holds for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:*

$$(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i} I) U_i(s) \subseteq U_i(s+2). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. In (4.3) evaluate the left-hand side using $y_i = k_i + (q_i - q_i^{-1})e_i$. The result follows from Lemma 4.1(i) and Lemma 4.5(ii). ☐

Lemma 4.8. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a decomposition $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ of V such that*

$$(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i} I) V_i(s) = 0 \qquad (0 \leq s \leq 2d_i). \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, y_i is semisimple on V.

Proof. By (4.3) the product $\prod_{s=0}^{2d_i}(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i} I)$ vanishes on V. Since the scalars $\alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i}$ are mutually distinct, we find that y_i is semisimple on V with eigenvalues contained in the set $\{\alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i} | 0 \leq s \leq 2d_i\}$. We define $V_i(s) := \{v \in V | y_i v = \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i}\}$. Thus (4.4) holds by construction. Since y_i is semisimple with eigenspaces $V_i(s)$, we see that $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V as desired. ☐

Definition 4.9. For notational convenience, we define $V_i(s) = 0$ if $s < 0$ or $s > 2d_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

Lemma 4.10. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let the decomposition $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Lemma 4.8. Then the following hold for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:*

(i) $(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - s} I) V_i(s) \subseteq V_i(s+2)$,

(ii) $e_j V_i(s) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{-A_{ij}} V_i(s + A_{ij} + 2t)$ *if* $i \neq j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)$.

Proof. (i) By (e3) (with $i = j$) we have

$$(y_i k_i^{-1} - q_i^2 k_i^{-1} y_i - I + q_i^2 I) V_i(s) = 0.$$

Applying (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (y_i k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i+2} k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - s} y_i + q_i^2 I) V_i(s) \\ &= (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i+2})(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i-s} I) V_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

(ii) Let S denote the left-hand side of (3.1) and note that $S = 0$. Applying S to $V_i(s)$ and using (4.4), we find that S agrees with

$$\prod_{t=0}^{-A_{ij}} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i+A_{ij}+2t} I) e_j \tag{4.5}$$

on $V_i(s)$. Therefore (4.5) is zero on $V_i(s)$. The result follows using (4.4). $\square$

Lemma 4.11. *For* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *let the decompositions* $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ *and* $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ *be as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.8 respectively. Then for* $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$ *we have*

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s + 2t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(s + 2t).$$

Proof. We set $X := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s + 2t)$ and $X' := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(s + 2t)$. We show that $X = X'$. Let N be an integer with $N > d_i - s/2$. Note that $X = \sum_{t=0}^{N} U_i(s+2t)$ and $X' = \sum_{t=0}^{N} V_i(s + 2t)$. Let $T := \prod_{t=0}^{N} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i+2t} I)$. Then $X' = \{v \in V | Tv = 0\}$ by (4.4), and $TX = 0$ by (4.3). Thus $X \subseteq X'$. Now let

$$S := \prod_{r=0}^{s-1} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{r-d_i} I) \prod_{t=0}^{N} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{s-d_i+2t+1} I).$$

Observe that $SV = X'$ by (4.4), and $SV \subseteq X$ by (4.3), so $X' \subseteq X$. Thus $X' = X$, completing the proof. $\square$

5 Split decompositions

We fix a finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module V of type α and shape d. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we use the decomposition $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ of V from Lemma 4.1 and the decomposition $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ of V from Lemma 4.8 to construct a third decomposition $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ of V.

Definition 5.1. Let s be an integer. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we define

$$W_i(s) = \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s-2t)\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - s - 2t)\right).$$

Note that $W_i(s) = 0$ if $s < 0$ or $s > 2d_i$. We will show that $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V. Toward this end, the following definition will be useful.

Definition 5.2. Let u and v be integers. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we define

$$W_i(u,v) = \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u-2t)\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - 2t)\right).$$

Lemma 5.3. *Choose integers u and v with $0 \leq u, v \leq 2d_i$. With reference to Definition 5.2, the following hold for $1 \leq i \leq n$:*

(i) $(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - u} I) W_i(u,v) \subseteq W_i(u-2, v-2)$,

(ii) $(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{d_i - v} I) W_i(u,v) \subseteq W_i(u+2, v+2)$,

(iii) $e_j W_i(u,v) \subseteq W_i(u + A_{ij}, v + A_{ij})$ *if* $i \neq j$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.1(i) we have

$$(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - u} I) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u-2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u-2-2t).$$

By Lemma 4.10(i) we have

$$(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - u} I) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - 2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v + 2 - 2t).$$

The result follows from the above inclusions and Definition 5.2.

(ii) By (4.3) we have

$$(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{d_i - v} I) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u - 2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u + 2 - 2t).$$

By (4.4) we have

$$(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{d_i - v} I) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - 2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - 2 - 2t).$$

The result follows from the above inclusions and Definition 5.2.

(iii) By Lemma 4.5(ii) we have

$$e_j \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u - 2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(u + A_{ij} - 2t).$$

By Lemma 4.10(ii) we have

$$e_j \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - 2t) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - v - A_{ij} - 2t).$$

The result follows from the above inclusions and Definition 5.2. □

Corollary 5.4. *With reference to Definition 5.2 and Definition 2.3, the sum* $\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i(u + s, v + s)$ *is invariant under* $U^{>0}$.

Proof. Set $S := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i(u + s, v + s)$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $j \neq i$ we have $e_j S \subseteq S$ by Lemma 5.3(iii). By Lemma 5.3(i) we have $k_i^{-1} S \subseteq S$, so $k_i S \subseteq S$ also. By Lemma 5.3(ii) we have $y_i S \subseteq S$. Using $e_i = (y_i - k_i)(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}$ we have $e_i S \subseteq S$. The result follows. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let u and v be integers with $u < v$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the space $W_i(u, v)$ from Definition 5.2 is zero.*

Proof. We assume $W_i(u, v) \neq 0$ and derive a contradiction. Set $S := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i(u + s, v + s)$ and note $S \neq 0$. We first show $U^0 S = V$. Clearly $U^0 S$ is nonzero and invariant under U^0. By (r3) and Corollary 5.4, $U^0 S$ is invariant under $U^{>0}$. Thus $U^0 S$ is a nonzero subspace invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$, and we have $U^0 S = V$ since V is an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module. Now we show $U^0 S \neq V$ for a contradiction. To this end, we claim that $U^0 S$ is contained in the sum

$$\sum_{s=0}^{2d_i + u - v} U_i(s). \tag{5.1}$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ note that $W_i(u+s, v+s)$ is contained in (5.1) by Definition 5.2. By this and since each $U_i(s)$ is U^0-invariant by Lemma 4.5, we find that $U^0 S$ is contained in (5.1). But (5.1) is not equal to V by Lemma 4.1. Thus $U^0 S \neq V$, and we have a contradiction. The result follows. $\square$

Theorem 5.6. *Choose i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. With the notation of Definition 4.1, $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V. Further, $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We first show $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose not. By construction, $d_i \in {}^1\!/\!_2\,\mathbb{Z}$, so $2d_i$ is an odd integer. Now applying Definition 5.2 we find

$$\begin{aligned} W_i(0,1) &= U_i(0) \cap \big(V_i(2d_i - 1) + \cdots + V_i(2) + V_i(0)\big) \\ &= U_i(0) \cap \big(U_i(2d_i - 1) + \cdots + U_i(2) + U_i(0)\big) \text{ (by Lemma 4.11)} \\ &= U_i(0). \end{aligned}$$

Observe $W_i(0,1) = 0$ by Lemma 5.5 and $U_i(0) \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.1(ii) for a contradiction. Thus $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now show $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V. To this end, consider the sum $S := \sum_{s=0}^{2d_i} W_i(s)$. We first show $S = V$. Note $W_i(s) = W_i(s,s)$ by Definition 5.2. Thus S is invariant under $U^{>0}$ by Corollary 5.4. We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_i(0) &= U_i(0) \cap \big(V_i(2d_i) + \cdots + V_i(2) + V_i(0)\big) \\ &= U_i(0) \cap \big(U_i(2d_i) + \cdots + U_i(2) + U_i(0)\big) \text{ (by Lemma 4.11)} \\ &= U_i(0) \end{aligned}$$

so $U_i(0) = W_i(0) \subseteq S$. Thus $S = V$ by Lemma 4.6.

Finally, we show that the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{2d_i} W_i(s)$ is direct. Since this sum is equal to V, V is the sum of

$$\sum_{s=0}^{d_i} W_i(2s) \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\sum_{s=0}^{d_i - 1} W_i(2s+1). \tag{5.3}$$

By Definition 5.1, (5.2) is contained in $\sum_{s=0}^{d_i} U_i(2s)$ and (5.3) is contained in $\sum_{s=0}^{d_i-1} U_i(2s+1)$. Since $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V, the intersection of (5.2) and (5.3) is zero. Thus V is the direct sum of (5.2) and (5.3). We now show that the sum (5.2) is direct. It suffices to show that

$$\big(W_i(0) + W_i(2) + \cdots + W_i(2m)\big) \cap W_i(2m+2) = 0$$

for $0 \leq m < d_i$. By Definition 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(W_i(0) + W_i(2) + \cdots + W_i(2m)\big) \cap W_i(2m+2) \\
&\qquad \subseteq \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(2m-2t)\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - 2m - 2 - 2t)\right) \\
&\qquad = W_i(2m, 2m+2) = 0 \text{ (by Lemma 5.5)},
\end{aligned}$$

so the sum (5.2) is direct. Similarly we see the sum (5.3) is direct. The result follows. □

Lemma 5.7. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let the decomposition $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Definition 5.1. Then the following hold for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:*

(i) $(k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - s} I) W_i(s) \subseteq W_i(s-2)$,

(ii) $(y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{d_i - s} I) W_i(s) \subseteq W_i(s+2)$,

(iii) $e_j W_i(s) \subseteq W_i(s + A_{ij})$ *if* $i \neq j$.

Proof. Note that $W_i(s) = W_i(s,s)$, and apply Lemma 5.3. □

Lemma 5.8. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let the decompositions $\{U_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ and $\{V_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.8 respectively. Let the decomposition $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Definition 5.1. Then the following hold for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s-2t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s-2t)$,

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s+2t) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - s - 2t)$.

Proof. (i) Let $X := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s-2t)$ and $X' := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s-2t)$. Note that $X \subseteq X'$ by Definition 5.1. To see the reverse inclusion, choose an integer N with $N > s/2$. Define

$$S := \prod_{r=-d_i}^{d_i - s - 1} (k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^r I) \prod_{t=0}^{N} (k_i^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1} q_i^{d_i - s + 2t + 1} I).$$

By Lemma 4.1(i) we have $SV = X'$. By Lemma 5.7(i) we have $SV \subseteq X$. Thus $X' \subseteq X$, and $X = X'$ as desired.

(ii) Let $Y := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s+2t)$, and $Y' := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - s - 2t)$. Note that $Y \subseteq Y'$ by Definition 5.1. To see the reverse inclusion, choose an integer N with $N > d_i - s/2$. Define

$$T := \prod_{r=d_i-s+1}^{d_i} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^r I) \prod_{t=0}^{N} (y_i - \alpha_i q_i^{d_i-s-2t-1} I).$$

By (4.4) we have $TV = Y'$. By Lemma 5.7(ii) we have $TV \subseteq Y$. Thus $Y' \subseteq Y$, and $Y = Y'$ as desired. □

6 The $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure

We fix a finite-dimensional irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module V of type α and shape d. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i)_{i=1}^n \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. In this section, we will construct a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V of type ε and show that this structure satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2.4.

Definition 6.1. Recall the decomposition $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ from Definition 4.1. With reference to Proposition 3.1, we let the generators $K_i^{\pm 1}$, Y_i, and Z_i of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ act on V as follows for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $K_i^{\pm 1}$ act as $\varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1}$. Let Y_i act as $\varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} y_i$. Let Z_i act as the linear operator $V \to V$ such that for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$, $W_i(s)$ is the eigenspace of Z_i with eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i}$.

We now show that these actions satisfy the relations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, giving a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V.

Remark 6.2. Recall that $E_i = (Y_i - K_i) q_i^{-1} (q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}$ and $e_i = (y_i - k_i) q_i^{-1} (q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}$. In view of Definition 6.1, E_i acts on V as $\varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$.

Lemma 6.3. *With reference to Definition 6.1 and Remark 6.2, the following relations hold on V for $1 \leq i \leq n$:*

(i) $Z_i K_i - q_i^2 K_i Z_i = (1 - q_i^2) K_i^2$,

(ii) $Z_i Y_i - q_i^2 Y_i Z_i = (1 - q_i^2) I$,

(iii) $Z_i E_h = q_i^{A_{ih}} E_h Z_i$ *if* $i \neq h$.

Proof. Since $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V, it suffices to show that (i)–(iii) hold on $W_i(s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$.

(i) By Lemma 5.7(i) we have the following for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (Z_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i-2} I)(K_i^{-1} - \varepsilon_i q_i^{d_i-s} I) W_i(s) \\ &= (Z_i K_i^{-1} - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i-2} K_i^{-1} - \varepsilon_i q_i^{d_i-s} Z_i + q_i^{-2} I) W_i(s) \\ &= \big(Z_i K_i^{-1} - q_i^{-2} K_i^{-1} Z_i + (q_i^{-2} - 1) I\big) W_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows after rearrangement of terms.

(ii) By Lemma 5.7(ii) we have the following for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (Z_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i+2} I)(Y_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{d_i-s} I) W_i(s) \\ &= (Z_i Y_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i+2} Y_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{d_i-s} Z_i + q_i^2 I) W_i(s) \\ &= \big(Z_i Y_i - q_i^2 K_i Z_i + (q_i^2 - 1) I\big) W_i(s).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

(iii) By Lemma 5.7(iii) we have the following for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (Z_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i+A_{ih}} I) E_h W_i(s) \\ &= (Z_i E_h - q_i^{A_{ih}} E_h Z_i) W_i(s).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

Lemma 6.4. *Choose i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. With the notation of Definition 6.1, the following relation holds on V:*

$$Z_i K_j - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i = K_i K_j (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. The result holds for $i = j$ by Lemma 6.3(i), so we may assume $i \neq j$. It suffices to show $Z_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i K_j^{-1} = (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}) K_i$ on V. To this end, we define $S := \{v \in V | (Z_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i K_j^{-1}) v = (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}) K_i v\}$ and show $S = V$. We do this using Lemma 4.6 with $s = 0$. Recall $U_i(0) \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.1(ii). We claim that $U_i(0) \subseteq S$. By Lemma 5.8, $U_i(0)$ is equal to $W_i(0)$, the eigenspace of Z_i with eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i q_i^{-d_i}$. By Lemma 4.5(i), $U_i(0)$ is equal to $K_j U_i(0) = K_j W_i(0)$, the eigenspace of $K_j Z_i K_j^{-1}$ with eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i q_i^{-d_i}$. Since $U_i(0)$ is also the eigenspace of K_i with eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i q_i^{-d_i}$, we have $(Z_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i K_j^{-1}) v = (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}) K_i v$ for $v \in U_i(0)$, so $U_i(0) \subseteq S$ as claimed. We now show that S is invariant under $U^{>0}$. To this end, we first show

$$(Z_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i K_j^{-1}) E_h = q_i^{A_{ih}} E_h (Z_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_j Z_i K_j^{-1}) \tag{6.2}$$

for $1 \leq h \leq n$. To verify (6.2) for $h \neq i$, take the term E_h that appears on the left-hand side and routinely pull it to the left using (r3) and Lemma 6.3(iii). For $h = i$, it suffices to show (6.2) with E_h replaced by $Y_i - K_i$ in view of Remark 6.2. To verify the modified (6.2), take the factor $Y_i - K_i$ that appears on the left-hand side and pull it to the left using (e2), (e3), and Lemma 6.3(i), (ii). It follows from (6.2), Remark 6.2, and (r3) that S is invariant under $U^{>0}$. We have now shown that S contains $U_i(0) \neq 0$ and is invariant under $U^{>0}$, so by Lemma 4.6 we have $S = V$. The result follows. □

Lemma 6.5. *Choose i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. With the notation of Definition 6.1, the following relation holds on V:*

$$Z_i Y_j - q_i^{A_{ij}} Y_j Z_i = K_i K_j (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}}). \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.3(iii) and Remark 6.2 we have

$$Z_i(Y_j - K_j) - q_i^{A_{ij}}(Y_j - K_j)Z_i = 0.$$

The result follows using (6.1). □

Lemma 6.6. *Choose i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. Let the decomposition $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ be as in Definition 5.1. With reference to Definition 6.1, we have the following for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$:*

$$(Z_j - K_j)W_i(s) \subseteq \sum_{t=0}^{-A_{ij}} W_i(s + A_{ij} + 2t). \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. Exchanging i and j in (6.1) and applying Lemma 4.1(i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i} Z_j - q_i^{A_{ij}} K_i Z_j - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i} K_j + q_i^{A_{ij}} K_i K_j) U_i(s) \\ &= (K_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i-A_{ij}})(Z_j - K_j) U_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(Z_j - K_j)U_i(s) \subseteq U_i(s - A_{ij})$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (Z_j Y_i - q_i^{A_{ij}} Y_i Z_j - K_j Y_i + q_i^{A_{ij}} Y_i K_j) V_i(s) \qquad \text{(by (6.3) and (e3))} \\ &= (Y_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s-d_i-A_{ij}} I)(Z_j - K_j) V_i(s) \qquad \text{(by (4.4) and Definition 6.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(Z_j - K_j)V_i(s) \subseteq V_i(s - A_{ij})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_j - K_j)W_i(s) &= (Z_j - K_j)\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s-2t)\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - s - 2t)\right) \\ &\subseteq \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} U_i(s - 2t - A_{ij})\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} V_i(2d_i - s - 2t - A_{ij})\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s - 2t - A_{ij})\right) \cap \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} W_i(s + 2t + A_{ij})\right) \\ &\qquad \text{(by Lemma 5.8(i), (ii))} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{-A_{ij}} W_i(s + A_{ij} + 2t). \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 6.7. *Choose i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq 2d_i$ and $i \neq j$. With the notation of Definition 6.1, the following relation holds on V:*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i Z_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} Z_j Z_i^r = K_i^{1-A_{ij}} K_j \prod_{s=0}^{-A_{ij}} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}) \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. To prove (6.5), it suffices to show

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i Z_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} (Z_j - K_j) Z_i^r = 0 \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i Z_i^{1-A_{ij}-r} K_j Z_i^r = K_i^{1-A_{ij}} K_j \prod_{s=0}^{-A_{ij}} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}). \tag{6.7}$$

We first show (6.6). Since $\{W_i(s)\}_{s=0}^{2d_i}$ is a decomposition of V, it suffices to show that (6.6) holds on $W_i(s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 2d_i$. Let s be given. By Definition 6.1, the left-hand side of (6.6) equals $\prod_{t=0}^{-A_{ij}} (Z_i - \varepsilon_i q_i^{s+A_{ij}+2t-d_i})(Z_j - K_j)$ on $W_i(s)$, and this product is zero in view of Lemma 6.6. We have shown (6.6). We now show (6.7). To this end, we first recall a few identities. By [10, p. 6] we find that for integers $m \geq r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i + q_i^{-m-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix}_i = q_i^{-r} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n). \tag{6.8}$$

The following identity is a special case of the q-binomial theorem [8, p. 236]. For an indeterminate λ and for an integer $m \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \lambda^{m-r} = \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (\lambda q_i^{m-1-2r} - 1) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n). \tag{6.9}$$

Using (6.1), (6.8), and induction we obtain

$$Z_i^m K_j = \sum_{r=0}^{m} q_i^{(m-r)(A_{ij}+r)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i K_i^r K_j Z_i^{m-r} \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2s}). \tag{6.10}$$

Evaluating the left-hand side of (6.7) using (6.10) we obtain

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}} \sum_{s=0}^{1-A_{ij}-r} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} 1 - A_{ij} - r \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{(1-A_{ij}-r-s)(A_{ij}+s)} \times K_i^s K_j Z_i^{1-A_{ij}} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2t}). \tag{6.11}$$

For $0 \le s \le 1 - A_{ij}$ the coefficient of $K_i^s K_j Z_i^{1-A_{ij}}$ in (6.11) is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_i \sum_{r=0}^{1-A_{ij}-s} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-A_{ij}-s \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i q_i^{(1-A_{ij}-s-r)(A_{ij}+s)} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1} (1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2t}). \qquad (6.12)$$

In view of (6.9), the expression (6.12) is equal to $\delta_{s,1-A_{ij}} \prod_{t=0}^{s-1}(1 - q_i^{A_{ij}+2t})$. Equation (6.7) follows. We have shown (6.6) and (6.7). Adding these equations we get (6.5) as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. To get a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V, we initially work with the equitable presentation for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ given in Proposition 3.1. Recall that in Definition 6.1, we defined actions of the equitable generators $K_i^{\pm 1}$, Y_i, and Z_i ($1 \le i \le n$) on V. We now show that these actions satisfy the relations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The actions satisfy (E1)–(E3) by (e1)–(e3), (E4) by (6.1), (E5) by Lemma 6.3(ii), (E6) by (6.3), (E7) by (e4), and (E8) by (6.5). Thus these actions give a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V. By Definition 6.1, $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1}$ vanishes on V for $1 \le i \le n$. By Remark 6.2, $E_i - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$ vanishes on V for $1 \le i \le n$. The $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is irreducible since V is an irreducible $U^{\ge 0}$-module. It is straightforward to check that V has type ε.

Concerning the "uniqueness" assertion, suppose we are given a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V such that the operators $E_i - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$ and $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1}$ vanish on V. We show that this structure coincides with the one described in Definition 6.1. Clearly the actions of K_i and E_i are as in Definition 6.1. Thus we may describe the given structure as a set of linear operators K_i, E_i, and $\widetilde{F}_i$ ($1 \le i \le n$) satisfying relations (R1)–(R8). In contrast with $\widetilde{F}_i$, we let F_i act on V as prescribed by Definition 6.1 and the isomorphism of Proposition 3.1. We now show that $F_i = \widetilde{F}_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Let i be given. Consider the set $X := \{v \in V | (F_i - \widetilde{F}_i)v = 0\}$. We argue that $X = V$. Since V is an irreducible $U^{\ge 0}$-module, it suffices to prove that $X \ne 0$ and X is invariant under $U^{\ge 0}$. We first show that X is invariant under $U^{\ge 0}$. Note that F_i and $\widetilde{F}_i$ both satisfy (R4) and (R5), so $F_i - \widetilde{F}_i$ commutes with K_j, E_j for $1 \le j \le n$. By hypothesis, $K_j = \varepsilon_j \alpha_j^{-1} k_j$ and $E_j = \varepsilon_j \alpha_j^{-1} e_j$ on V. Thus $F_i - \widetilde{F}_i$ commutes with k_j, e_j for $1 \le j \le n$, and it follows that X is invariant under $U^{\ge 0}$. We now show $X \ne 0$. Take $0 \ne v \in U_i(0)$. By (R4), $F_i v$ is in the eigenspace of K_i corresponding to eigenvalue $\varepsilon_i q^{-d_i - 2}$, but this space is 0 by Lemma 4.1. Similarly we have $\widetilde{F}_i v = 0$. Thus $v \in X$, and we have shown $X \ne 0$. Since V is an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, we have $X = V$ as desired. It follows that the given $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V is identical to the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure described in Definition 6.1. Hence, the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module described in Definition 6.1 is unique. □

7 The proof of Theorem 2.5

This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2.5. We begin with a few comments about the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its modules.

Definition 7.1. [11, p. 122] The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators e, f, and k which satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} kk^{-1} &= k^{-1}k = 1, \\ kek^{-1} &= q^2 e, \\ kfk^{-1} &= q^{-2} f, \\ [e, f] &= \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.2. *[11, p. 128] Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module. Then there exist $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and a basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ for V such that $kv_i = \varepsilon q^{2i-d} v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $ev_i = [i+1]v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, $ev_d = 0$, $fv_i = \varepsilon[d-i+1]v_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $fv_0 = 0$.*

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 7.3. *Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V such that $e - E_i$, $f - F_i$, and $k^{\pm 1} - K_i^{\pm 1}$ vanish on V.*

Definition 7.4. Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}^*$ be the dual of $\mathfrak{h}$. Fix simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_n^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = A_{ij}$. Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module of type $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we define the *weight space* V_λ by

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V | K_i v = \varepsilon_i q_i^{\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

We denote the *set of weights of* V by $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* | V_\lambda \neq 0\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we define linear operators $r_i : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$r_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i \qquad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Let W be the group generated by r_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then W is the Weyl group associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ [12, p. 35].

Lemma 7.5. *[10, Prop. 5.1] Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with set of weights Λ. Then $V = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ (direct sum). Moreover, E_i and F_i are nilpotent on V $(1 \leq i \leq n)$.*

Lemma 7.6. *Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with set of weights Λ. Choose i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, and suppose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0$. Then the following hold.*

- *(i) The restriction of $E_i^{-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle}$ to V_λ is an isomorphism of vector spaces from V_λ to $V_{r_i(\lambda)}$.*
- *(ii) For all $v \in V_\lambda$, $E_i^{1-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle} v = 0$ if and only if $F_i v = 0$.*
- *(iii) The restriction of $F_i^{-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle}$ to $V_{r_i(\lambda)}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces from $V_{r_i(\lambda)}$ to V_λ.*
- *(iv) For all $v \in V_{r_i(\lambda)}$, $F_i^{1-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle} v = 0$ if and only if $E_i v = 0$.*

Proof. (i) Using Lemma 7.3, view V as a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module such that k acts as K_i, f acts as F_i, and e acts as E_i. As a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module, V is the direct sum of irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules. Let S be an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module summand with $S \cap V_\lambda \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 7.2, $E_i^{-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle} = e^{-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle}$ is an isomorphism from $S \cap V_\lambda$ to $S \cap V_{r_i(\lambda)}$. The result follows.

(ii) Without loss of generality, $v \in S$ for some irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodule S of V. Then $E_i^{1-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle} v = e^{1-\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle} v = 0$ if and only if $F_i v = f v = 0$ by Lemma 7.2. The result follows.

(iii), (iv) These results follow in a manner analogous to (i), (ii). □

Corollary 7.7. *Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with set of weights Λ. Let W be the Weyl group from Definition 7.4. Then for $w \in W$, $V_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $V_{w(\lambda)} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since W is generated by the linear operators r_i, we may assume $w = r_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. The result now follows from Lemma 7.6(i), (iii). □

Lemma 7.8. *Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with set of weights Λ. Choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then there exist integers i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < 0$ and $\left\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \right\rangle > 0$.*

Proof. Recall the integers u_i from Definition 2.1. Define $K \in \mathfrak{h}$ by $K := \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \alpha_i^\vee$ (cf. [12, p. 80]). Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and $u_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, it suffices to show $\langle \lambda, K \rangle = 0$. To this end, we define $C \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by $C := \prod_{i=1}^n K_i^{u_i}$. Note that for any $v \in V_\lambda$, we have $Cv = \pm q_i^{\langle \lambda, K \rangle} v$. We claim that C acts on V as ± 1. It will follow that $\langle \lambda, K \rangle = 0$

as desired. We observe that C is the central element of V described in [3, Thm. 12.2.1]. By [3, Prop. 12.2.3], C acts on V as ± 1, so the claim holds. The result follows. $\square$

Lemma 7.9. *Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with set of weights Λ. Let S be a subspace of V such that $K_iS \subseteq S$ and $E_iS \subseteq S$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have the following:*

(i) $S = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(S \cap V_\lambda)$,

(ii) For $1 \leq j \leq n$, $S \cap V_\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $S \cap V_{r_j(\lambda)} \neq 0$.

Proof. Since S is invariant under K_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we have (i). To see (ii), note that if $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \leq 0$ then $E_j^{-\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle}$ is an isomorphism from $S \cap V_\lambda$ to $S \cap V_{r_j(\lambda)}$ by Lemma 7.6(i) and the hypothesis $E_jS \subseteq S$, so the result holds in this case. If $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$ we argue as follows.

Let $W\lambda$ be the orbit of λ under W. V is finite-dimensional, so $W\lambda$ is a finite set by Lemma 7.7. Construct a directed graph with vertex set $W\lambda$ and edge set consisting of all edges $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in W\lambda \times W\lambda$ with the following property:

$$\text{there exists } h \ (1 \leq h \leq n) \text{ such that } \langle \mu_1, \alpha_h^\vee \rangle < 0 \text{ and } \mu_2 = r_h(\mu_1). \tag{7.1}$$

If (μ_1, μ_2) is an edge of the graph, (7.1) guarantees $S \cap V_{\mu_1} \cong S \cap V_{\mu_2}$ in view of Lemma 7.6(i) and the hypothesis $E_iS \subseteq S$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Moreover if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in W\lambda$ and there exists a path from μ_1 to μ_2 in the graph, we have $S \cap V_{\mu_1} \cong S \cap V_{\mu_2}$. To complete the proof, we show that there is a path from λ to $r_j(\lambda)$.

Note that $0 \notin W\lambda$, since otherwise $W\lambda$ contains only the element 0 in contradiction of $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle > 0$. Thus for each $\mu \in W\lambda$, there are integers h and h' with $1 \leq h, h' \leq n$ such that $\langle \mu, \alpha_h^\vee \rangle < 0$ and $\langle \mu, \alpha_{h'}^\vee \rangle > 0$ by Lemma 7.8. Therefore, by the construction of the graph, each vertex has at least one incoming and at least one outgoing edge, and no vertex is self-adjacent. At each vertex, color exactly one incoming and exactly one outgoing edge. We have $\langle r_j(\lambda), \alpha_j^\vee \rangle < 0$, so we may assume the edge $(r_j(\lambda), \lambda)$ is colored. A simple argument shows that λ is contained in a cycle of colored edges. Thus there is a path from λ to $r_j(\lambda)$. The result follows. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module of type ε. Suppose $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}^\times)^n$. We first prove that the desired $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V exists. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let e_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ act on V as $\varepsilon_i\alpha_i^{-1}E_i$ and $\varepsilon_i\alpha_i^{\mp 1}K_i^{\pm 1}$ respectively. Then using the defining relations for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in Definition 2.2, it is easy to see that e_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ satisfy (r1)–(r4) and therefore induce a $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V. From the construction, the operators $E_i - \varepsilon_i\alpha_i^{-1}e_i$ and $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i\alpha_i^{\mp 1}k_i$ vanish on

V. We have now shown the desired $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure exists, and it is clear that this $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure is unique. Next we show that the $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure is irreducible. To this end, we let S denote an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-submodule of V and argue that $S = V$. Since V is an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, it suffices to show that S is nonzero and invariant under $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. By construction, S is nonzero and invariant under $E_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. We claim that S is invariant under F_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let i be given, and define $\widetilde{S} := \{v \in S | F_i v \in S\}$. To prove the claim, it suffices to show $S = \widetilde{S}$. Since S is an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module, it is enough to show that $\widetilde{S}$ is nonzero and invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$. Fix j with $1 \leq j \leq n$. It follows from (R5) and the fact that $E_j - \varepsilon_j \alpha_j^{-1} e_j$ and $K_j^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_j \alpha_j^{\mp 1} k_j$ are 0 on V that $e_j \widetilde{S} \subseteq \widetilde{S}$. By (R3) we have $K_j E_i = q_j^{A_{ji}} E_i K_j$. By this and since $K_j - \varepsilon_j \alpha_j^{-1} k_j$ is 0 on V, we find that $k_j E_i - q_j^{A_{ji}} E_i k_j$ vanishes on V. Consequently, we have $k_j \widetilde{S} \subseteq \widetilde{S}$, and then $k_j^{-1} \widetilde{S} \subseteq \widetilde{S}$ holds as well. Thus $\widetilde{S}$ is invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$. To verify that $\widetilde{S} \neq 0$, observe that by Lemma 7.9(i), we have $S = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (S \cap V_\lambda)$. By Lemma 7.5, E_i is nilpotent on V, so we can choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $S \cap V_\lambda \neq 0$ and $E_i(S \cap V_\lambda) = 0$. In view of Lemma 7.6(i) and our choice of λ, we have $\langle r_i(\lambda), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0$. By Lemma 7.9(ii), we can choose $0 \neq v \in S \cap V_{r_i(\lambda)}$. It follows that $E_i^{1 - \langle r_i(\lambda), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle} v \in E_i(S \cap V_\lambda) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 7.6(ii), $F_i v = 0$. We have $v \in \widetilde{S}$, so $\widetilde{S} \neq 0$. We have shown that $\widetilde{S}$ is nonzero and invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$. Thus $\widetilde{S} = S$ since S is an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module. The claim follows. We have shown that S is nonzero and invariant under $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, so $S = V$ since V is an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Thus V is an irreducible $U^{\geq 0}$-module as desired. It is routine to show the $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V has type α. □

8 Irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-modules

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$. Then the following hold:*

(i) Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-module of type ε. Then the action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$ on V extends uniquely to an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ on V. The resulting $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V is irreducible and of type ε.

(ii) Let V be a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module of type ε. When the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-action is restricted to $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$, the resulting $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V is irreducible and of type ε.

Proof. (i) We define an action of $U^{\geq 0}$ on V such that $k_i^{\pm 1}$ acts as $K_i^{\pm 1}$ and e_i acts as E_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure has type ε. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on V such that the operators $k_i^{\pm 1} - K_i^{\pm 1}$ and

$E_i - e_i$ vanish on V for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This structure is irreducible and of type ε, and it restricts to the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V. The result follows.

(ii) We let S denote a nonzero $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-submodule of V and claim that $S = V$. By its definition, S is invariant under $K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Theorem 2.5, for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}^\times)^n$, there is the structure of a $U^{\geq 0}$-module on V such that the operators $K_i^{\pm 1} - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{\mp 1}$ and $E_i - \varepsilon_i \alpha_i^{-1} e_i$ vanish on V $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. From this we see that S is invariant under $U^{\geq 0}$. But the $U^{\geq 0}$-module structure on V is irreducible by Theorem 2.5, so $S = V$ and our claim is proved. Note that V has type ε as a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$-module since it has type ε as a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module. $\square$

9 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Paul Terwilliger and Georgia Benkart for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] G. Benkart, P. Terwilliger, Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and its Borel subalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)^{\geq 0}$, *J. Algebra* **282** (2004), 172–194.

[2] V. Chari, M. Kleber, Symmetric functions and representations of quantum affine algebras, in *Recent Developments in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Conformal Field Theory*, Contemporary Mathematics **297**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, 27–46.

[3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[4] V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras and their representations, in *Representations of Groups (Banf, AB, 1994)*, CMS Conf. Proc. **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, 59–78.

[5] P. Etingof, I.B. Frenkel, A.A. Kirillov, Jr., *Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **58**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[6] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa, Spaces of coinvariants and fusion product, I: From equivalence theorem to Kostka polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* **125** (2004), 549-588.

[7] I. Frenkel, Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.

[8] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. **35**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[9] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger, Some algebra related to P-and Q-polynomial association schemes, in *Proceedings of DIMACS conference on Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, 167–192.

[10] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Grad. Stud. Math. **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[11] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[12] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Third Edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[13] A. Nakayashiki, Y. Yamada, Kostka polynomials and energy functions in solvable lattice models, *Selecta Math.* **3** (1997), 547–599.

[14] P. Terwilliger, The displacement and split decompositions for a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **21** (2005), 263–276.

[15] P. Terwilliger, The equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, *J. Algebra* **298** (2006), 302–319.

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA
`bowman@math.wisc.edu`